

Multiphysics Modeling of Semiconductor IC Packaging and Systems Modelarea multifizică a ambalajelor și sistemelor IC semiconductoare

Rajen Murugan, PhD SMIEEE IEEE Dallas Section Chair; IEEE EPS Dallas Chapter Founder



Introduction

1

2 IC Package Technology Evolution

3 IC Package/System Modeling Evolution

4 MSC-D Modeling Methodology + Implementation Examples

MSC-D Gaps, Opportunities, and Key Take-Aways



## Introduction

- Transistor/chip scaling has reached the point of diminishing returns (FinFet, GAAgate all around, FSFET, CFET <1nm).</li>
- Chip scaling is becoming more difficult and **expensive** at each node
- IC vendors are opting for advanced packaging (AP) solutions as an alternative to chip scaling.
- Advanced packaging /interconnect technologies showing promises in the "More than Moore Era".
- 3D, Chiplet, FoWLP, Heterogeneous Integration, HBM, Interposer, among others.



Source: Nerissa Draeger, "Scaling Up And Down", Semiconductor Engineering, Oct. 21st, 2019.



Source: Cadence, "Evolution of Multi-Die Solutions"



#### Introduction Cont.

- Advanced packaging technologies present unique challenges for traditional package design tools and methodologies – DFC, DFM, and Design for Testing (DFT).
- Complex integration is exacerbating multiphysics (electrical, thermal, mechanical) and multidomain (chippackage-PCB) interactions.
- Multiphysics system co-design (MSC-D) is becoming critical to ensure first-pass design success. Examples are provided here on how adoption of MSC-D is coming to the rescue.



Source: IEEE EPS HR Roadmap, Chpt. 14, 2021 Edition



### **Basics of Packaging**

#### **Functions of an IC Package**

- External electrical connection of die to PCB
- Heat dissipation
- Physical protection of circuit
- Environmental isolation
  - Mechanical hazards
  - Chemical hazards

#### **Drivers of Package Development**

- Cost
- Performance & Cost
- Reliability & Cost
- Form Factor & Cost



#### Package: The Bridge between Silicon and System



### Product Diversity → Package Diversity



**IEEE** SIITME 2023

## **Advanced Packaging Trend**



# **↑**Complexity → Paradigm Shift in Modeling

- Power MOSFET is a fast growing market (revenue US\$ 6.17
   Bn. in 2021) and poised to grow at >6.2% CAGR from 2022 to 2029 (reaching US\$ 9.98 Bn)<sup>1</sup>.
- MOSFET scaling, miniaturization, advanced packaging, and passive integration technological development are driving cost-effective solutions.
- Power density, power/unit volume, a key FoM<sup>2</sup> of scaling.
- However, complex integration/miniaturization is exacerbating multiphysics and multiscale interactions,
   ↓ performance → business impact (\$\$\$)
- Gaps in traditional modeling approach  $\rightarrow$  paradigm shift<sup>3</sup>.



Reduction in converter size over time for 6-A to 10-A power modules with new technology generations.<sup>2</sup> Solid line represents a new generation of technology and demonstrates the associated gains in power density (source Ref[2]).

ГМЕ 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>MMR, "Power MOSFET Market: Global Overview and Forecast (2022-2029)", https://www.maximizemarketresearch.com/market-report/global-power-mosfet-market/35689/ <sup>2</sup>Morroni, J. and Shenoy, P., "Understanding the Trade-offs and Technologies to Increase Power Density", Texas Instruments, Inc., App Note: SLYY193A, October 2022. <sup>3</sup>Felton, K., "A new approach to IC packaging design", https://www.ednasia.com/a-new-approach-to-ic-packaging-design/, September 2020.



## Evolution of Modeling: System Co-Design (Multidomain)

• "Compartmentalized and throwing-over-the-wall" – doing your part of the design and passing it off to the next team with little/if any communication.



- System Co-Design Concept → Breaking the Wall
  - The teams (i.e., IC + Package + System) working collaboratively <u>early</u> in the system design phase to deliver an <u>optimized</u>, <u>cost-effective</u> product.
- What does all this mean to IC design?
  - Paradigm shift ⇒ Change our approach our "technical DNA"
  - System Co-Design is critical  $\Rightarrow$  Embrace or be left behind!



### **Evolution of Modeling: Multiscale Modeling**

- Multiscale modeling is the field of solving problems which have important features at multiple scales of time and/or space<sup>4</sup>.
- It is a technique in which multiple models at different scales are used simultaneously to describe a system. A broad range of scientific and engineering problems involve multiple scales. An example of multiple scale is the design of a DCDC converter.



'ME 2023

<sup>4</sup> Zhang Q. and Cen S., "Multiphysics Modeling: Numerical Methods and Engineering Applications", Tsinghua University Press Computational Mechanics Series, 1st Edition - December 15, 2015.

### **Evolution of Modeling: Multiphysics Modeling**

0V to 36 Supply

> Reference Voltage <sup>o-</sup>

REF

- Multiphysics is defined as the simultaneous simulation of different physical aspects of a system and the complex interactions among them<sup>5,6</sup>.
- Multiphysics simulation is related to multiscale simulation, which is the simultaneous simulation of a single process on either time or distance scales.
- Ex. Shunt resistor current IC sensor.
- Iterative process until convergence.



TME 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Liu, Zhen (2018). Multiphysics in Porous Materials. Cham, Switzerland: Springer. ISBN 978-3-319-93028-2. OCLC 1044733613.
<sup>6</sup> Kwon, Y. W., (2015). Multiphysics and Multiscale Modeling: Techniques and Applications 1st Edition, CRC Press, October 5, 2015. 12

### State-of-the-Art Modeling Methodology: MSC-D

- Key concerns are Timing, Signal Integrity, Power Integrity, and Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC).
- Hierarchical/compartmentalized methodology.
- Coupled circuit-to-electromagnetic algorithms to handle multi-domains (die + package + PCB) →
   System Co-Design/Analysis.
- Emergence and adoption of concurrent system codesign coupled with multiphysics considerations → a unified approach to optimize design across multidisciplines (Multiphysics System Co-Design, MSC-D)<sup>7</sup>.
- Unification enabled through standard file format, interoperability between tools, and global specifications and standardizations.



13

#### **High-Current DCDC Converters**



## High-Current (40A) Step-Down Converter

- Power converters trend → Smaller, Faster, Robust, and Cheaper.
- High power density, efficiency, reliability, and low cost are performance drivers → an optimization problem.
- High power density is enabled by:
  - Reduction in power losses (conduction + switching)
  - High-frequency switching
  - 3D Innovative packaging (SiP, MCM, PoP, Stack)
  - Passives integration (die and/or package)
- Power density challenges:
  - Electrical and Thermal  $\rightarrow$  ElectroThermal
  - System (device + package + PCB) impact on thermal



#### **3D Package Innovation**

- PowerStack<sup>™</sup> integrates MOSFETs in z-axis → enabled by Cu clip
- Side-by-side vs Stack Config.
- Offers many advantages:
  - Higher power efficiency
  - Reduced electrical parasitics
  - Improve thermal
  - Improve reliability
  - PCB real-estate reduction
- PCB thermoelectric/Joule heating effect creeping up!
  - Exponential increase in power density → rising junction temperature



'ME 2023

### **Multiphysics Modeling Methodology**

- An electrothermal optimization problem
- The coupled electrothermal scheme contains two functional modules:
  - Physical field solvers
  - Circuit/network solver
- The field solvers resolves the electrical and thermal field solutions iteratively via 3D FEM.
- The integrated equivalent network is solved by a generic circuit solver for steady-state and transient responses<sup>8</sup>. *There*



TME 2023

<sup>8</sup>*Murugan, R. et al.,* "System Electrothermal Transient Analysis of a High Current (40A) Synchronous Step Down Converter." Proceedings of the ASME 2019 International Technical Conference and Exhibition on Packaging and Integration of Electronic and Photonic Microsystems. ASME 2019, California, USA. October 7–9, 2019.

#### **Static Thermal Analysis**

- **Step 1:** 3D physical designs of assembly (package and PCB) imported and merged.
- **Step 2:** Material properties are assigned.
- **Step 3:** MOSFETs Power map/heat sources are assigned along with appropriate boundary conditions.
- Step 4: CFD analyses are performed (natural and forced convection). Heat transfer coefficients (HTCs) are extracted.
- **Step 5:** Temperature distribution generated.



CFD analysis under natural convection



Static thermal analysis under natural convection



#### **Static Electrothermal Analysis**

- In real-life high-current applications, the power generation due to Ohmic/Joule heating within the PCB can be significant.
- Thermal response due to current flowing through the PCB traces is analyzed.
- Appropriate currents are applied from supply to device and device to load to capture Joule heating due to PCB interconnect.
- An increase of 5.5°C is observed for the natural convection case.



Current injection and extraction sites



Static Electrothermal analysis under natural convection



### **Transient Electrothermal Analysis**

- Transient behavior analyze under realistic switching conditions (current stimulus).
- Duty cycle of I\_in derive based on the voltage ratio, i.e., V\_out / V\_in.
- I\_out is a superposition of I\_in and inductor ripple current, I<sub>R</sub>

$$I_R = \frac{V_{IN} - V_{OUT}}{L_{ind} \cdot f_{SW} \cdot \frac{V_{IN}}{V_{OUT}}}$$
[5]

Plot shows temperature increase during the first
 100 current cycles, with and without Joule heating.
 (period of each cycle ~ 1.5 us).



Temperature increase with and without Joule Heating

ME 2023



#### **Thermal Measurements**

- System-level thermal measurements were performed on the evaluation module (EVM).
- EVM mounted inside a Venturi tunnel in order to provide a well-controlled environment for airflow and temperature measurements.
- Figure shows the Venturi tunnel, anemometer (airflow meter) and sensor, thermal camera placement and EVM mounting bracket.
- Camera is positioned about 1foot from the EVM mounted inside the chamber.





#### **Simulation to Measurement Correlation**





#### **Magnetic IC Current Sensors**



#### **Current Sensor Market Trend**

- A fast growing market (revenue US\$ 2B+ in 2019 and poised to grow at >6% CAGR between 2020 and 2026)
- Market is segmented based on:
  - Type 1: Open loop and Closed loop
  - Type 2: Isolated and non-isolated
  - Sensing Technology: Hall-Effect, current transformer, flux gate, and Rogowski coil.
  - End Application: Automotive, Consumer
     Electronics, Healthcare, Industrial, others.
- Types of sensors:
  - Isolated: magnetic current sensors, opto-Isolated op amp, and shunt-isolated op amp.
  - Non-isolated: current sensing amplifiers and analog-to-digital converters.
- An example of current sensor based on Hall-Effect is demonstrated here.

	Rogowski coil	Current Transformer	AMR	GMR	Hall effect	Fluxgate	Shunt
Current type	AC	AC	AC and DC	AC and DC	AC and DC	AC and DC	AC and DC
Current range	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	Low
Accuracy	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
Temperature drift	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low	Low
Inherent isolation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

#### Hall-Effect Current Sensor Physics

- The classical Hall effect is the production of a voltage difference transverse to an electric current in a conductor with an orthogonal applied magnetic field (B) to the current.
- According to classical electromagnetic theory, electric charges (i.e., electrons) moving through the magnetic field experience a magnetic force.
- This magnetic force sets a differential voltage i.e. the Hall voltage  $(V_H)$  across the conductor width (d) due to separation of electrons.
- The voltage builds up until the electric field produces an electric force equal in magnitude and opposite to the magnetic force → Lorentz Force [eqt. 6].
- The Hall voltage (V<sub>H</sub>) is dependent on the Hall material sensitivity (K<sub>H</sub>), Hall current (I<sub>H</sub>), and applied transverse magnetic field [eqt. 7].



'ME 2023

 $V_H = K_H I_H B$ 

R. Murugan et al., Hall-Effect Sensor Package with Added Current Path, US Patent No. US 10,892,405 B2, Granted: Jan. 12, 2021

#### Hall-Effect Sensor IC Design Challenges

- Cost-effective but sensitive to Piezoresistance effect, non-uniformity of the magnetic field, **thermal and temporal drift offset.**
- Measured input current safe operating area (SOA) is dependent on maximum junction temperature excursion and Joule heating in the whole system.
- Temperature drift compensation, to-date, has been mostly at the IC-level, with little to no considerations of the multiphysics **system-level** (package and PCB) impacts.
- In this example, multiphysics system co-design is employed to accurately predict system temperature in order to improve thermal drift offset cancellation accuracy.



#### **Temperature Measurement Setup**

- Current is introduced into the system through the orange-brown connector which is secured to the PCB
   2 metal layers EVM
   by plated steel screw.
- The gray structure is a jig, made of plastic nylon, that was designed specifically to hold the thermocouple probe.
- By biasing an internal ESD diode, junction temperatures (*T<sub>j</sub>*) can be made accurately.
- K-type thermocouples are used for measuring Case
   (T<sub>c</sub>) temperatures up to 150°C.
- For accurate measurement, a thermal paste was employed to provide a good thermal conductive bridge between the surface of the thermocouple.



# $(T_{J})$ and $(T_{C})$ Empirical Relationship

- Practically, validation through junction temperature measurement is quite challenging.
- A relatively linear relationship can be observed by plotting junction temperature versus case temperature with the ambient offset removed  $(T_J T_A \text{ versus } T_C T_A)$ .
- Best-fit analysis (a least sum squares approximation).
   For this device and system under investigation, the empirical fit was derived and shown to be:
  - $T_J T_A = 1.31 \times (T_C T_A) 2.8$  [8]
- Using the above derived empirical equation, the junction temperature can now be estimated based upon a case measurement.





### **Multiphysics Simulation Results**

- Simulation performed using MSC-D methodology.
- Top right picture shows measurement set-up and configuration.
- Bottom picture shows simulation set-up. Components were imported as physical three-dimensional geometries and assembled to emulate the measurement set-up.
- Appropriate materials properties were employed along with boundary conditions for the multiphysics analysis.



#### Simulation vs Measurement Correlation

- Overall good correlation observed across current and temperature range<sup>9</sup>.
- Relatively good correlation validates the multiphysics co-design modeling flow.
- For improved correlation, possible improvements areas are – inclusion of package and PCB manufacturing process variations, accurate thermal and electrical material properties, and controlled oven chamber thermal measurements.

Case Temperature ( $T_c$ ) Comparison								
Current (A), Ambient (40 °C)	Simulation, °C	Measurement, °C	Delta (%)					
10A	46.60	47.28	1.44					
Current (A), Ambient (85 °C)	Simulation, °C	Measurement, °C	Delta (%)					
14A	96.64	91.74	5.34					
30A	119.80	113.53	5.52					
Current (A), Ambient (125 °C)	Simulation, °C	Measurement, °C	Delta (%)					
10A	130.90	129.07	1.42					
12A	133.60	130.22	2.60					
14A	136.73	132.06	3.54					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> R. Murugan et al., "Multiphysics System Co-Design of a High-Precision, High-Voltage (±600V) Isolated Hall-Effect Current Sensor," 2021 IEEE 71st Electronic Components and Technology Conference (ECTC), San Diego, CA, USA, 2021, pp. 1226-1233.



#### **MSC-D Challenges and Opportunities**



### **Electromigration: Coupled Fields Solution**

- Electromigration (EM) is an enhanced diffusioncontrolled mass transport process in metallic interconnects that poses severe reliability concerns<sup>10</sup>.
- EM is characterized via the mass transport equation and the coupling of fluxes (atomic diffusion, electromigration, stress migration, and thermomigration.
- Requires a **fully-coupled, non-linear** fields numerical analysis solutions<sup>11</sup>.
- A non-trivial multiscale/multiphysics problem.



<sup>11</sup> Z. Cui, X. Fan and G. Zhang, "Implementation of Fully Coupled Electromigration Theory in COMSOL," 2022 IEEE 72nd Electronic Components and Technology Conference (ECTC), San Diego, CA, USA, 2022, pp. 233-238.





### **Multiphysics System Co-Design Challenges**

- Seamless physical (entire layout chain) co-design, optimization, and visualization + multiphysics modeling and analysis capabilities (a single tool).
- Coupled circuit-to-electromagnetic algorithms are not computationally rigorous/efficient to handle complex advanced packaging platforms yet.
- Issues with **stability**, **accuracy**, and **convergence** in transient circuit-level analysis<sup>12</sup>.



**Source: John Park** (Cadence Design Systems) - An EDA Perspective: What's the Difference Between Heterogenous Integration and System in Package (SiP), MEPTEC, March 2021.

- Continuum-continuum coupling (space and/or time scale difference), Floating Point Overflow/Underflow, and accurate<sup>13</sup>, compact Spice-based models are practical challenges.
- Managing complexity of advanced packaging in a coherent model/tool is a challenge to current computational capabilities  $\rightarrow$  likely to drive significant conceptual and algorithmic innovations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> S Senecal, J. & Ji, W. (2017). Approaches for Mitigating Over-Solving in Multiphysics Simulations. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. 112. 10 <sup>13</sup> Sha, Wei. (2016). The Challenges and Remedies of Multiphysics Modeling— A Personal View.



### **Multiphysics System Co-Design Opportunities**

- Innovations to address real-world challenges:
  - Advanced adaptive meshing algorithms to speed up computational analysis. \_
  - Massive parallelization for direct and iterative solvers (CPU, CPU-GPU, Cloud AWS/DSA).
  - AI/ML/Domain decomposition for multiscale. \_
  - EDA suppliers enabling multiphysics and system co-design solutions through on-going developments:



### Summary and Key Take-aways

- Continued miniaturization/integration is exacerbating multiphysics and multidomain interactions that are impacting performance, time-to-market, and cost.
- Evolving modeling schemes (compartmentalized → system co-design/multidomain → multiscale → multiphysics) due to gaps in traditional approaches.
- Multiphysics modeling and simulations are increasingly becoming an essential part
  of semiconductor CAE/D, virtual prototyping, research and development (R&D),
  and product design.
- MSC-D modeling and analysis methodology is helping to secure first-pass design success.
- However, these interactions will worsen when we transition to AP/HI technologies.
- Opportunities for disruptive innovations exist in many areas multiphysics numerical computation algorithms, fast and parallel solvers, meshing schemes, model order reduction, among others.

**ME 2023** 

35



